From: Sent:

Carol Davis [cdavis5@jam.rr.com] Tuesday, October 19, 2004 5:30 PM

To:

KAQuinn

Subject:

Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Carol Davis Business Owner 1318 Roxbury Ct. Jackson, MS 39211

October 19, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From: Sent: Carol Davis [cdavis5@jam.rr.com] Tuesday, October 19, 2004 5:30 PM

To: Subject: Commissioner Adelstein Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Carol Davis Business Owner 1318 Roxbury Ct. Jackson, MS 39211

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From: Sent:

Carol Davis [cdavis5@jam.rr.com]
Tuesday, October 19, 2004 5:30 PM

To: Subject: Commissioner Adelstein Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Carol Davis Business Owner 1318 Roxbury Ct. Jackson, MS 39211

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From: Sent: Carol Davis [cdavis5@jam.rr.com]
Tuesdav, October 19, 2004 5:30 PM

To:

Michael Powell

Subject:

Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Carol Davis Business Owner 1318 Roxbury Ct. Jackson, MS 39211

October 19, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From:

Carol Moore [me@christforthenationschurch.com]

Sent:

Friday, October 15, 2004 2:53 PM

To:

KJMWEB

Subject:

Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Carol Moore Ms. CFNC church member 3212 E. Ledbetter Dallas, TX 75216

October 15, 2004

Kevin J Martin

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Carol Moore 214 -302-6241 Ms. CFNC church member

From:

Carla Flemings [main@applythewordministries.com]

Sent:

Wednesday, October 13, 2004 10:59 AM

To:

Michael Copps

Subject:

Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Carla Flemings **Evangelist** Apply the Word Ministries P.O. Box 841 Pasadena, MD 21122

October 13, 2004

Michael J Copps

Dear Michael Copps:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Carla Flemings 410-439-3200 Evangelist Apply the Word Ministries

From: Sent: Brenda J Baker [fbaker1@cfl.rr.com] Tuesday, October 19, 2004 6:24 PM

To:

Michael Powell

Subject:

Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Brenda J Baker 107 Sunnyside Dr Clermont, FL 34711

October 19, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Brenda J Baker 352-243-7305

From: Sent:

Brenda J Baker [fbaker1@cfl.rr.com]
Tuesday, October 19, 2004 6:24 PM

To: Subject:

Commissioner Adelstein Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Brenda J Baker 107 Sunnyside Dr Clermont, FL 34711

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Brenda J Baker 352-243-7305

From: Sent:

Brenda J Baker [fbaker1@cfl.rr.com] Tuesday, October 19, 2004 6:24 PM

To: Subject:

Commissioner Adelstein Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Brenda J Baker 107 Sunnyside Dr Clermont, FL 34711

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Brenda J Baker 352-243-7305

From: Sent: Brenda J Baker [fbaker1@cfl.rr.com] Tuesday, October 19, 2004 6:24 PM

To:

KAQuinn

Subject:

Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Brenda J Baker 107 Sunnyside Dr Clermont, FL 34711

October 19, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Brenda J Baker 352-243-7305

From: Sent: Brenda Mitchell [BrnMtc3@aol.com]
Thursday, October 14, 2004 10:18 AM

To:

Michael Copps

Subject:

Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Brenda Mitchell 302 S.E. Winburn Trail Lee's Summit, Mo. 64063

October 14, 2004

Michael J Copps

Dear Michael Copps:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From: Sent:

Brenda Mitchell [BrnMtc3@aol.com] Thursday, October 14, 2004 10:18 AM

To:

Commissioner Adelstein

Subject:

Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Brenda Mitchell 302 S.E. Winburn Trail Lee's Summit, Mo. 64063

October 14, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From:

Brenda Mitchell [BrnMtc3@aol.com]
Thursday, October 14, 2004 10:18 AM

Sent: To:

KAQuinn

Subject:

Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Brenda Mitchell 302 S.E. Winburn Trail Lee's Summit, Mo. 64063

October 14, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From: Sent:

Brenda Mitchell [BrnMtc3@aol.com] Thursday, October 14, 2004 10:18 AM

To:

KJMWEB

Subject:

Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Brenda Mitchell 302 S.E. Winburn Trail Lee's Summit, Mo. 64063

October 14, 2004

Kevin J Martin

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From: Sent: Brenda Mitchell [BrnMtc3@aol.com] Thursday, October 14, 2004 10:18 AM

To:

Michael Powell

Subject:

Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Brenda Mitchell 302 S.E. Winburn Trail Lee's Summit, Mo. 64063

October 14, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From:

brenda snow [redheadkat1964@yahoo.com]

Sent:

Sunday, October 17, 2004 11:13 AM

To:

KAQuinn

Subject:

Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

brenda snow 12476 stage coach rd. gravette, arkansas 72736

October 17, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From:

brenda snow [redheadkat1964@yahoo.com]

Sent:

Sunday, October 17, 2004 11:13 AM

To: Subject:

Commissioner Adelstein Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

brenda snow 12476 stage coach rd. gravette, arkansas 72736

October 17, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From:

brenda snow [redheadkat1964@yahoo.com]

Sent:

Sunday, October 17, 2004 11:13 AM

To:

Michael Powell

Subject:

Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

brenda snow 12476 stage coach rd. gravette, arkansas 72736

October 17, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From:

brenda snow [redheadkat1964@yahoo.com]

Sent:

Sunday, October 17, 2004 11:13 AM

To:

KJMWEB

Subject:

Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

brenda snow 12476 stage coach rd. gravette, arkansas 72736

October 17, 2004

Kevin J Martin

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From: Sent:

Brittney Pugh [Healingbabylon@aol.com] Wednesday, October 20, 2004 4:38 AM

To:

KAQuinn

Subject:

No on "A La Carte" Cable

Brittney Pugh 3348 Mimosa Drive Columbia, TN 38401

October 20, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

God bless you and your family.

Respectfully

Brittney Dyan Pugh (931) 381-9254

From: Sent:

Brittney Pugh [Healingbabylon@aol.com] Wednesday, October 20, 2004 4:37 AM

To:

Michael Powell

Subject:

No on "A La Carte" Cable

Brittney Pugh 3348 Mimosa Drive Columbia, TN 38401

October 20, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

God bless you and your family.

Respectfully

Brittney Dyan Pugh (931) 381-9254

From: Sent: Brittney Pugh [Healingbabylon@aol.com] Wednesday, October 20, 2004 4:37 AM

To: Subject: Commissioner Adelstein No on "A La Carte" Cable

Brittney Pugh 3348 Mimosa Drive Columbia, TN 38401

October 20, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

God bless you and your family.

Respectfully

Brittney Dyan Pugh (931) 381-9254

From:

Bryan Hare [bryhre@tcworks.net] Friday, October 15, 2004 8:40 PM

Sent: To:

KAQuinn

Subject:

No on "A La Carte" Cable

Bryan Hare PO Box 1452 Conway, Ar 72032

October 15, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From: Sent: Bryan Hare [bryhre@tcworks.net] Friday, October 15, 2004 8:40 PM

To: Subject: Commissioner Adelstein No on "A La Carte" Cable

Bryan Hare PO Box 1452 Conway, Ar 72032

October 15, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From: Sent:

Bryan Hare [bryhre@tcworks.net] Friday, October 15, 2004 8:40 PM

To:

KJMWEB

Subject:

No on "A La Carte" Cable

Bryan Hare PO Box 1452 Conway, Ar 72032

October 15, 2004

Kevin J Martin

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From:

Bryan Hare [bryhre@tcworks.net] Friday, October 15, 2004 8:40 PM

Sent: To:

Michael Copps

Subject:

No on "A La Carte" Cable

Bryan Hare PO Box 1452 Conway, Ar 72032

October 15, 2004

Michael J Copps

,

Dear Michael Copps:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From:

Bryan Hare [bryhre@tcworks.net] Friday, October 15, 2004 8:40 PM

Sent: To:

Michael Powell

Subject:

No on "A La Carte" Cable

Bryan Hare PO Box 1452 Conway, Ar 72032

October 15, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From:

Candice Katayama [CKATAYAMA426@HOTMAIL.COM]

Sent:

Thursday, October 14, 2004 1:52 AM

To:

KAQuinn

Subject:

Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Candice Katayama 377 South Teri Lane Orange, CA 92869

October 14, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Candice Katayama 949/278-6500

From:

Candice Katayama [CKATAYAMA426@HOTMAIL.COM]

Sent:

Thursday, October 14, 2004 1:52 AM

To:

KJMWEB

Subject:

Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Candice Katayama 377 South Teri Lane Orange, CA 92869

October 14, 2004

Kevin J Martin

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Candice Katayama 949/278-6500

From:

Candice Katayama [CKATAYAMA426@HOTMAIL.COM]

Sent:

Thursday, October 14, 2004 1:52 AM

To: Subject: Commissioner Adelstein
Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Candice Katayama 377 South Teri Lane Orange, CA 92869

October 14, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Candice Katayama 949/278-6500